

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/668,638	Applicant(s) SMITH ET AL.	
	Examiner Blane J. Jackson	Art Unit 2618	

All Participants:
Status of Application: ____

 (1) Blane J. Jackson.

(3) ____

 (2) Gregory J. Gorrie.

(4) ____

Date of Interview: 8 June 2006
Time: ____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:
Non Final Rejection filed 24 March 2006
Claims discussed:
claims 23-28.
Prior art documents discussed:
general prior art concerning active filters
Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
The applicant's representative was requested to consider an amendment to claims 23 and 26 as regards the ambiguity created by, in the examiner's opinion, functional language.
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)